



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/808,237	03/15/2001	Hideo Ando	204591US-2S	6630	
22850	7590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2616		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
Office Action Summary		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/808,237	,	ANDO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		HUY T. NG		2616			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statute od will apply and will ute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be to ory minimum of thirty (30) do expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the action to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	February 2005	<u>5</u> .				
2a)⊠	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ints have been ints have been iority documer aau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicants have been received 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
•							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		0	(DTO 442)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	4)	Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/2005</u> .		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 17,19,20 and 22 are rejected Claims 14,15,17,19,20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Yoo et al (6,701,059) in view of Morrison (6,591,292).

Regarding claims 17,19,20 and 22, Yoo discloses a bitstream data recording/reproducing apparatus (Figs. 1-3) using a recordable information medium having a data area application packet area and a management area application

Art Unit: 2616

header area (Fig. 2) providing a data for recording broadcasted bitstream information (column 4, lines 29-31, lines 43-46), the data stored on said information medium including, a stream object, formed of the broadcasted bitstream information, including at least one data unit includes a plurality of pair of time stamp information and transport packets (application unit) (Fig. 3), the management information includes a packet length (Fig. 3, column 2, lines 2-40). Further for claims 14 and 19, Kim discloses a formatter (120, 130) configured to format an input signal into a bitstream of data packets for an MPEG transport stream, said data packets corresponding to the at least one first data unit; and a recorder section (220,230) configured to record the bitstream in the data area of said information medium (fig. 5,6, column 8, lines 10-20)

Further for claim 17, Yoo further teaches a reproduction section (250) and decoding section (120) reproduces the broadcasted bitstream information from the data area of said information medium; and a transfer section transfers the data packets in the reproduced broadcasted bitstream information from the reproducer section to a decoder in which a content of the data packets is decoded (column 1, lines 30-40).

Yoo further teaches that the apparatus receives the broadcast streams from a plurality of stations (column 4, lines 29-32) but fails to teach the management information further having information specifying a broadcast source as being recited in claims 17,19,20 and 22.

Morrison teaches a recording and reproducing apparatus having means for generating and recording the broadcast source information (column 7, lines 60-65, column 8, lines 50-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Yoo with Morison by providing a generating means as taught by Morrison with the recording apparatus of Yoo for recording the information of the broadcast source as additional e management information—thereby enhancing the capacity of the apparatus in of Yoo for identifying the recorded streams to be properly process and reproduced when the apparatus records a plurality of the stream programs on the medium.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/808,237 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N